Chapter 14

Our Constitution and Our Laws

The law of Yahweh is perfect, converting the soul: the testimony of Yahweh is sure, making wise the simple. The statutes of Yahweh are right, rejoicing the heart: the commandment of Yahweh is pure, enlightening the eyes. The fear of Yahweh is clean, enduring for ever: the judgments of Yahweh are true and righteous altogether. More to be desired are they than gold, yea, than much fine gold: sweeter also than honey and the honeycomb. Moreover by them is thy servant warned: and in keeping of them there is great reward. (Psalm 19:7-11)

That anyone, let alone someone claiming to be a Christian, would discard the Bible's perfect law of liberty (which provides all of the above and more) for *even* man's best attempt at government is symptomatic of moral bankruptcy:

To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them. (Isaiah 5:20)

Man left to himself to develop his own fickle finite law code flounders about in darkness.

The Declaration Speaks for Itself

Paragraph #2, Sentences 6-7

The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.

Grievance #13

He [Britain's King George III] has combined with others [members of England's Parliament] to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to *our constitution*, and unacknowledged by *our laws*; giving his Assent to *their Acts of pretended Legislation*.

The operative word in this grievance is "our": our constitution and our laws.

That the Declaration's signatories had no intention to establish a government of, by, and for God based upon His moral law (unlike those in early 1600s America¹⁸⁷) is evident in this one word "our." The American colonials were *not* upset with King George for forcing his jurisdiction and edicts on them because they were contrary to Yahweh's jurisdiction and laws. They were angry because the government George had forced upon them was contrary to their *own* constitution and laws. It was their own assumed sovereignty and alleged laws they were so determined to protect. The same humanism was true for the constitutional framers eleven years later.

Consequently, both the colonials' states' Constitutions at the approximate time of the writing of the Declaration of Independence and the United States Constitution are just as aptly depicted as "Acts of *pretended* Legislation" as were Great Britain's bogus laws. The same is true for any government decree today not in harmony with Yahweh's law. The list of such edicts is endless thanks to the fact that neither the Declaration nor the Constitution recognized Yahweh as the sole lawgiver, per Isaiah 33:22 and James 4:12. *Neither do the bulk of today's constitutional Christians!*

Void of Biblical Emphasis

Had the Declaration's signatories been concerned with violations egregious to their God and Creator, they would have made this clear from the onset and throughout the entire Declaration of Independence.

If your paradigm is God, His Word, and His law, everyone will know it. If you are a subject and ambassador of the King of kings, your objective to bring Yahweh the glory due Him, to advance His kingdom here on earth as it is in heaven, and to honor Christ as Savior of the remnant, will be apparent in nearly everything you say and do:

[W]hatsoever ye do, do all to the glory of God. (1 Corinthians 10:31)

And whatsoever ye do in word or deed, do all in the name of the Lord Jesus, giving thanks to God and the Father by him.... And whatsoever ye do, do it heartily, as to the Lord, and not unto men. (Colossians 3:17, 23)

This cannot be said of either the Declaration's signatories or the Constitution's framers.

Keeping in mind that anti-Christ Thomas Jefferson's generic god and creator is *not* the God of the Bible, there's *nothing* in either document that leads one to believe the Declaration's signatories' objective was to promote Yahweh as America's Sovereign and thus His Kingdom and His laws as paramount over King George's, their own, or any other finite government.

That objective has to be wished into the Declaration and the Constitution in the futile hope of somehow christening both documents as biblical. Such wishful thinking amounts to self-delusion and only helps to foist the same deception upon others, including one's own posterity. This, in turn, only further secures their manacles, first forged by the Declaration's signatories and the Constitution's framers:

Loose yourself from the chains around your neck, O captive daughter of Zion. (Isaiah 52:2, NASB)

Is this not the fast which I choose, To loosen the bonds of wickedness, to undo the bands of the yoke, and to let the oppressed go free, and break every yoke? (Isaiah 58:6, NASB)

Grievances #13 & 14

He [Britain's King George III] has combined with others [members of England's Parliament] to subject us to ... their Acts of pretended Legislation: For Quartering large bodies of armed troops among us.

The Quartering Act passed by Britain's Parliament in 1774 is addressed in Chapter 13.

In Time of War

This atrocity was addressed in Amendments 3 and 4 to the United States Constitution:

Amendment 3: No soldier shall, in time of peace, be quartered in any house without the consent of the owner, *nor in time of war but in a manner to be prescribed by law*.

Amendment 4: The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the

place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

Although perhaps not immediately apparent, what's found in Amendments 3 and 4¹⁸⁸ is already provided for by biblical case law in Deuteronomy 24:

When thou dost lend thy brother any thing, thou shalt not go into his house to fetch his pledge. Thou shalt stand abroad [remain outside, NASB], and the man to whom thou dost lend shall bring out the pledge abroad unto thee. (Deuteronomy 24:10-11)

Even a man who has a lawful claim to another man's pledge is not permitted to trespass another man's home. In 1763, Sir William Pitt, Earl of Chatham, England, wrote what might be considered an applied commentary of Deuteronomy 24:10-11:

The poorest man may in his cottage bid defiance to all the forces of the Crown. It may be frail—its roof may shake—the wind may blow through it—the storm may enter—the rain may enter—but the King of England cannot enter!—all his force dare not cross the threshold of the ruined tenement.¹⁸⁹

Amendment 3 provides for the quartering of soldiers "in time of war" as "prescribed by [*United States government*] law," whereas Yahweh's law makes no such provision. Under biblical law, a man's home belongs to him, not the government. He has final say over who may or may not enter. Homeowners (unless they are known criminals ¹⁹⁰) are protected from *all* uninvited visitors, even during times of war.

Making matters much worse, under constitutional law, the United States government is no longer obligated to honor its "guarantee" in Amendment 3 because it has since appropriated *all* private property via eminent domain, property taxes, and public lands by way of Amendment 5's provision for government confiscation of private property. Every square inch of America is now allegedly owned by both state and federal governments. The Constitutional Republic is consequently the de facto owner of all property in America and, as such, does what it pleases with what it fraudulently claims belongs to it.

Grievances #13 & 15

He [Britain's King George III] has combined with others [members of

England's Parliament] to subject us to ... their Acts of pretended Legislation: ... For protecting them, by *mock Trial*, from punishment for any *Murders* which they [King George's armed troops, cited in Grievance #14] should commit on the Inhabitants of these States.

The American colonials were completely justified in this grievance leveled against King George. No one can dispute this!

Mock Justice and Murder

Nevertheless, because this accusation was not framed from a biblical paradigm, based upon the Bible's perfect law of liberty, and then enacted by the Constitution's framers, mock justice and murder, particularly of the unborn, are the rule of the day under the government that replaced King George's government here in America.

Talk about spurious justice, when society's most vulnerable cannot find protection in the Constitutional Republic's alleged justice system. ¹⁹² Instead, their murders at the hand of butchers has been legalized and financed by the same government.

Sins of Commission and Sins of Omission

This would have never occurred had the framers established, and their successors maintained, a biblical government based upon God's law, ¹⁹³ including Exodus 20:13, 21:22-23, and Deuteronomy 27:25.* Had they done so, no one would have ever heard of *Roe v Wade*, Planned Parenthood wouldn't exist, and millions of infants slaughtered in their mothers' wombs financed by the Constitutional Republic would have lived to see the light of day.

Someone is likely to respond that what's now true regarding government-financed in utero infanticide (aka abortion) was not the intention of the constitutional's framers. *It doesn't matter!* Their sins were of both commission and omission. The framers' sins of commission are evidenced in that there's hardly an Article or Amendment in the Constitution that's not antithetical, if not seditious, to Yahweh's sovereignty and morality.¹⁹⁴

Their sins of commission aside, the framers' sins of omission—that is, their failure to establish government and society based upon Yahweh's commandments, statutes, and judgments—*alone* sent America to the precipice of moral depravity and destruction

she presently teeters on.

Ask the millions of infants slaughtered in their mothers' wombs if the constitutional framers' failure to establish government on Exodus 21:22-23 and Deuteronomy 27:25 didn't lead to their annihilation?

There's not one national problem in America today—government-financed in utero infanticide, ¹⁹⁵ sodomite "marriages," ¹⁹⁶ Synagogues, Mosques, and Temples devoted to false gods dotting America's landscape, ¹⁹⁷ international entanglements, ¹⁹⁷ America's crumbling economy, runaway debt, and taxes on nearly everything, ¹⁹⁸ etc.—that cannot be traced back to the framers' sins of omission.

Mock justice, murder, and everything abominable in America today are all because the Declaration's signatories and the Constitution's framers were no more working from a biblical paradigm than was King George and his British henchmen.

For my people have committed two evils; they have forsaken me the fountain of living waters, and hewed them out cisterns, broken cisterns, that can hold no water. (Jeremiah 2:13)

* "Thou shalt not kill." (Exodus 20:13)

"If men strive, and hurt a woman with child, so that her fruit depart from her, and yet no mischief follow: he shall be surely punished, according as the woman's husband will lay upon him; and he shall pay as the judges determine. And if any mischief follow, then thou shalt give life for life." (Exodus 21:22-23)

"Cursed be he that taketh reward to slay an innocent person. And all the people shall say, Amen." (Deuteronomy 27:25)

Source Notes

187. For more regarding the early 1600 governments of, by, and for God expressly established upon His moral law, see Chapter 3 "The Preamble: We the People vs. Yahweh" of *Bible Law vs. the United States Constitution: The Christian Perspective*

- at bibleversusconstitution.org/BlvcOnline/biblelaw-constitutionalism-pt3.html.
- 188. Chapter 13 "Amendments 3 & 4: Constitutional vs. Biblical Privacy" of *Bible Law vs. the United States Constitution: The Christian Perspective*, bibleversusconstitution.org/BlvcOnline/biblelaw-constitutionalism-pt13.html
- 189. Sir William Pitt, quoted in Clive Bigham, *The Prime Ministers of Britain*, 1721-1921 (Whitefish, MT: Kessinger Publishing, 1924) p. 83
- 190. Chapter 13 "Amendments 3 & 4: Constitutional vs. Biblical Privacy" of *Bible Law vs. the United States Constitution: The Christian Perspective*, bibleversusconstitution.org/BlvcOnline/biblelaw-constitutionalism-pt13.html
- 191. Chapter 14 "Amendment 5: Constitutional vs. Biblical Judicial Protection" of *Bible Law vs. the United States Constitution: The Christian Perspective*, bibleversusconstitution.org/BlvcOnline/biblelaw-constitutionalism-pt14.html
- 192. Chapter 6 "Article 3: Judicial Usurpation" of *Bible Law vs. the United States Constitution: The Christian Perspective*, bibleversusconstitution.org/BlvcOnline/biblelaw-constitutionalism-pt6.html
- 193. For how the Bible's immutable/unchanging moral law applies today and should be implemented as the law of the land, see *Law and Kingdom: Their Relevance Under the New Covenant* at bibleversusconstitution.org/law-kingdomFrame.html.
 - See also A Biblical Constitution: A Scriptural Replacement for Secular Government, bibleversusconstitution.org/biblicalConstitution.html.
- 194. Bible Law vs. the United States Constitution: The Christian Perspective, in which every Article and Amendment is examined by the Bible, bibleversusconstitution.org/BlvcOnline/blvc-index.html
- Also audio series "Bible Law vs. Constitutionalism," beginning at bibleversusconstitution.org/tapelist.html#T1203.
- 195. *Thou shalt not kill*, the sixth in a series of ten online books on each of the Ten Commandments and their respective statutes and judgments, bibleversusconstitution.org/onlineBooks/sixth-commandment.html
- 196. Thou shalt not commit adultery, the seventh in a series of ten online books on each of the Ten Commandments and their respective statutes and judgments, bibleversusconstitution.org/onlineBooks/seventh-commandment.html
- 197. Thou shalt have no other gods before me, the first in a series of ten online books on each of the Ten Commandments and their respective statutes and judgments,

bibleversusconstitution.org/onlineBooks/first-commandment.html

198. *Thou shalt not steal*, the eighth in a series of ten online books on each of the Ten Commandments and their respective statutes and judgments, bibleversusconstitution.org/onlineBooks/eighth-commandment.html

Chapter 25 "Amendment 16: Graduated Income Tax vs. Flat Increase Tax" of *Bible Law vs. the United States Constitution: The Christian Perspective*, bibleversusconstitution.org/BlvcOnline/biblelaw-constitutionalism-pt25.html